

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/594,967	09/29/2006	Remi Le Bec	ATOCM-0357	5647	
23599 7590 12/04/2009 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			WOOD, ELIZABETH D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DET HERMANDE	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

#### Application No. Applicant(s) 10/594,967 LE BEC ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Elizabeth D. Wood 1793 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) D/ WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed to the common of t	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2009.	
2a)☑ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-19	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>	
3.☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag	e
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	

Att 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/00) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/28/09. 6) Other:

Pe

### Specification

The examiner has not checked the specification to the extent necessary to determine the presence of **all** possible minor errors (grammatical, typographical and idiomatic). Cooperation of the applicant(s) is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant(s) may become aware of in the specification, in the claims and in any future amendment(s) that applicant(s) may file.

Applicant(s) is also requested to complete the status of any copending applications referred to in the specification by their Attorney Docket Number or Application Serial Number, if any.

The status of the parent application(s) and/or any other application(s) crossreferenced to this application, if **any**, should be updated in a timely manner.

## Claim Objections

Claim 16 should apparently recite a composition prepared according to "the method of claim 6".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. Application/Control Number: 10/594,967

Art Unit: 1793

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 3,411,888 to Drost et al. for substantially the same reasons set forth on page 4 of the previous office action. The examiner notes applicant's comments that this reference should have been characterized as Westerland et al. However, the examiner characterized the reference as Drost et al. to be consistent with applicant's PTO-1449 filed April 22, 2009.

Drost et al. differ from the claimed invention in the failure to recite the specific amounts of zeolites. But the skilled artisan would have been capable of arriving at such

amounts within the broad disclosure of Drost et al. because they would result-effective for the adsorbent process for which the composition will be employed.

With respect to the method of use claims, as stated in the previous office action, Drost et al. teach using the composition to adsorb water from a mixture. Accordingly, the skilled artisan would expect the composition to have utility in the separation processes set forth in the instant application.

With respect to requiring the composition to contain zeolite 3A, it is pointed out that both chabazite and zeolite 3A are notoriously well known as water-adsorbing materials and the substitution of one for the other would therefore have been within the skill of the artisan practicing in this filed, with the expectation of success. See, for example, US 4,663,052 or US 5,120,694.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 28, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the Drost reference does not suggest a mixture of natural zeolites. This is not convincing as the reference specifically recites a material obtained from an ore containing both chabazite and clinoptilolite.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/594,967

Art Unit: 1793

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth D. Wood whose telephone number is 571-272-1377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,967 Page 6

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth D. Wood/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

/E. D. W./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793